Results, application and reweighting



Key concepts & study plan



Experimental design



Data collection & processing



Model specification & estimation



Interpretation & application

Results, application and reweighting

Introduction

Three related topics

- different ways of analysing outputs from random utility models
- use of choice models in demand prediction
- dealing with unrepresentative samples



Key concepts & study plan



Experimental design



Data collection & processing



Model specification & estimation



Interpretation & application

Overview

Many different ways of analysing outputs

- directionality
- marginal rates of substitution and willingness to pay
- relative attribute importance
- odds ratios
- elasticities
- marginal effects
- □ This session gives an overview, with more in-depth discussions later in the course

Directionality

- Models use parameters to capture impacts of attributes on utilities, and hence on probabilities
- □ Can gain initial insights by looking at individual parameter estimates:
 - which attributes have a positive/negative impact?
 - are there attributes where the impact is not different from zero?

MRS and WTP

- □ Key behavioural output from random utility models for continuous attributes
- Relates to trade-off behaviour
 - what change in attribute x₁ cancels out a change in attribute x₂
 - if one attribute is monetary, then MRS becomes WTP or WTA
 - if one attribute is risk, MRS becomes MAR
- □ Take care in interpretation
 - MRS relate to points of indifference in utility
 - Could tell us e.g. that one unit of x_1 is twice as impactful on **utility** as one unit of x_2
 - **BUT:** Utility ≠ probability
 - Does not mean that impact of x₁ on **probability** is twice that of x₂

Relative attribute importance (RAI)

- □ With categorical attributes, cannot look at unit changes
- Instead focus on relative impact of changes across levels
 - e.g. impact of going from level L_1 to L_2 for x_1 relative to going from L_1 to L_2 for x_2
 - or within a given attribute (e.g. L_1 to L_2 for x_1 relative to L_2 to L_3 for x_1)
- □ Can also use to compare maximum possible impact on utility of different attributes
 - impact of going from worst to best for x_1 compared to doing same for other attributes
- Take care in interpretation
 - Just as with MRS, this relates to utility, not probability
 - RAI on probability has same order, but magnitudes may differ a lot

Odds ratios

 \Box Odds in choice modelling: probability of choosing an alternative vs not choosing it

•
$$O_j = \frac{P_j}{1-P_j}$$

□ Odds ratios: odds in different conditions, e.g. at two different levels of an attribute

•
$$OR_{j,x_{1,L_1} vs x_{1,L_2}} = \frac{O_{j,x_{1,L_1}}}{O_{j,x_{1,L_2}}}$$

- Relates to probabilities, rather than utilities
- □ Can be used with continuous and categorical attributes

Elasticities

- □ Percent change in demand in response to a percent change in an attribute
- Key output for policy in many areas
- Can be used for continuous attributes
- Only really makes sense for labelled choice settings

Marginal effects

- Categorical equivalent to elasticities
- □ Impact on demand of a change from one level to another for an attribute
- □ Can also use this to look at changes of a specified size for continuous attributes
 - specific number of units
 - from worst to best value
- □ Again only really makes sense for labelled choice settings



Key concepts & study plan



Experimental design



Data collection & processing



Model specification & estimation



Interpretation & application

Estimation vs prediction

Estimation

represents step of understanding current behaviour

find best model parameters

Prediction

- what is the predicted demand for each product?
- □ how will behaviour change under given scenario?
- e.g. some products are added/removed/changed
- involves applying estimated model, not reestimating it on changed data

The basics of forecasting

Apply estimated model to:

- predict choices in <u>new</u> settings
- predict choices for a <u>new</u> population
- predict impact of changes in products
- predict impact of changes in population
- Mainly relevant in labelled settings

Forecasting matters

- Many studies are primarily interested in willingness-to-pay
- $\hfill\square$ Even then, useful diagnostic check to look at forecasts
- □ For example, does estimated model give reasonable implied elasticities?
- □ Well fitting models do not necessarily lead to good forecasts!
- Substantial risk of over-fitting to estimation data

Forecasting in practice

Real world forecasting would likely also require adjusting the sample of respondents
Often estimate models on small samples, and then apply them to very large samples in sample enumeration

Sample enumeration

- Assemble a population to use in forecasting
 - either based on real data (e.g., census), or synthetic population
- □ Apply the model to this data, i.e., make a prediction for each person in that data
- □ Potentially incorporate weights in that process to make the sample representative
- □ Then aggregate demand
- If the model incorporates interactions with person characteristics, then the forecasts in sample enumeration will be different depending on those
 - this is one of the key benefits of using deterministic heterogeneity as much as possible



Key concepts & study plan



Experimental design



Data collection & processing



Model specification & estimation



Interpretation & application

Heterogeneity and non-representative samples

- Vast majority of datasets not representative of the population
- Variety of reasons
 - Some segments are difficult to reach
 - Some segments may be oversampled on purpose
 - Increasing reliance on internet-based panels
- □ If behaviour in a population is homogeneous, then this is not a problem
 - definitely not the case
- $\hfill\square$... or if heterogeneity unrelated to characteristics for which sample not representative
 - ... is this likely? Are women and men the same?
- Many studies just ignore this

Is weighting the solution?

- $\hfill\square$ Some work uses weights in estimation
- $\hfill\square$ Imagine a sample where distribution of women and men is 60%-40%

□ Could express log-likelihood as:

$$LL = \sum_{n=1} \left(\frac{0.5}{0.6} \textit{female}_n + \frac{0.5}{0.4} \textit{male}_n \right) LL_n$$

- □ *Innocent* view is that this addresses imbalance in the sample
- What it actually implies is that each choice made by a man is more important than each choice made by a woman...
- Quite easy to show that this does not actually fix any bias

What's the solution?

- Weighting should be carried out post-estimation, through sample enumeration
- Calculate the value of interest (whether WTP or forecasts) for each individual, then average or sum over individuals
 - weighted average is nearly always different from the measure for a *representative* individual
- Two possibilities
 - apply model to the estimation sample, but with weights to correct for the sampling
 - apply model to an external sample that is larger/more representative
- □ Can apply model to different future scenarios, with different weights
 - unlike with weighting in estimation, these do not need to be known at the estimation stage

How does this work?

- \Box Calculate value of interest for each person, say WTP_n or predicted demand $P_{car,n}$
- □ Produce average WTP as $\frac{\sum_{n} w_{n} WTP_{n}}{\sum_{n} w_{n}}$ or total predicted demand as $\sum_{n} w_{n} P_{car,n}$
- \square If the prediction sample is an exogeneous representative sample, then $w_n = 1 \ \forall n$
- Works only if model captures heterogeneity along dimensions for which sample might be adjusted in application
 - e.g. to correct for gender imbalance in application, need to capture role of gender in model

How about with random heterogeneity

- Delived Logit alone cannot do this, need deterministic heterogeneity too
- Conditionals/posteriors are not the solution either
 - Issue 1: conditionals are available for estimation sample only, not application sample
 - Issue 2: distribution of conditionals is driven by sample level heterogeneity assumptions